

Rigid Oligonaphthalenediimide Rods as Transmembrane Anion- π Slides

Virginie Gorteau, Guillaume Bollot, Jiri Mareda, Alejandro Perez-Velasco, and Stefan Matile*

Department of Organic Chemistry, University of Geneva, Geneva, Switzerland

Received September 12, 2006; E-mail: stefan.matile@chiorg.unige.ch

We report the design, synthesis, and evaluation of π -acidic, shape-persistent oligo-(*p*-phenylene)-*N,N*-naphthalenediimide (O-NDI) rods **1–3** that can transport anions across lipid bilayer membranes with a rare halide VI selectivity ($\text{Cl}^- > \text{F}^- > \text{Br}^- > \text{I}^-$)¹ and a substantial anomalous mole fraction effect (AMFE, Figure 1 and Scheme 1).² Dynamic cation- π interactions have been confirmed theoretically³ and experimentally⁴ to provide access to ion channels/transporters with the biologically relevant Eisenman IV cation selectivity topology.⁵ This experimental support for π -basic rigid *p*-oligophenyl rods as functional scaffolds⁴ suggested that electron-deficient rigid O-NDI rods⁶ could give the complementary anion- π slides (Figure 1). The development of strategies to design synthetic anion channels/transporters^{7,8} beyond ion pairing and hydrogen bonding is of quite general interest considering the importance of anion channels in diseases such as cystic fibrosis.^{1,2,8} Anion- π interactions are appealing for this purpose because they are theoretically attractive,⁹ poorly explored in solution,¹⁰ absent in ion channel proteins,^{1,2,8} and unexplored in the context of synthetic ion channels and pores.^{7,8}

NDI, a compact, organizable, colorizable, and functionalizable organic *n*-semiconductor^{6,11} was considered as an ideal module for the creation of transmembrane anion- π slides (Figure 1). Our high-level DFT calculations¹² for model NDI **4** revealed a global quadrupole moment $Q_{zz} = +19.4$ B (Buckinghams) that promised anion- π interactions beyond hexafluorobenzene ($Q_{zz} = +9.6$ B)¹³ and cation- π interactions with the complementary model pyrene **5** ($Q_{zz} = -13.8$ B). Comparison with rigid *p*-oligophenyl rods¹⁴ suggested that the alignment of three NDI acceptors separated by phenyl spacers would afford rods with appropriate length ($l = 32.6$ Å, Figure 1B) for hydrophobic matching with common lipid bilayer membranes.

Rigid O-NDI rods **1–3** were readily accessible from the commercially available dianhydride **6** (Scheme 1). Reaction with excess diamine **7** gave the central NDI module **8**. Unlikely to affect the fixed phenyl-NDI torsion angle of $\omega \approx 90^\circ$, reduction of the number of methyls in diamine **8** was nevertheless found to be undesirable because of increasingly poor solubility of higher rods (not shown). The terminal module **9** was prepared by reaction of monoamine **10** with excess dianhydride **6** under controlled pH. Coupling of the central diamine **8** with two terminal diacids **9** yielded the desired rigid O-NDI scaffold **11**. Z-Removal and elongation of diamine **12** with Boc-Gly-OH gave target rod **1**. Mild Boc-deprotection produced the asymmetric ammonium salt **2** in up to 64% conversion yield, together with 30% of the fully deprotected, symmetric diammonium salt **3**.

Egg yolk phosphatidylcholine large unilamellar vesicles (EYPC LUVs) loaded with the pH-sensitive fluorescent probe 8-hydroxy-1,3,6-pyrenetrisulfonate (HPTS) and exposed to a pH gradient were used to evaluate the activity of rigid O-NDI rods **1–3**. In this assay, transport activity is reported as velocity of pH gradient collapse and can imply facilitated cation (H^+/M^{n+}) or anion exchange ($\text{OH}^-/\text{A}^{n-}$).^{4,15} Consistent with transmembrane rod ori-





Figure 1. The concept of anion- π slide in lipid bilayers (A) with DFT-computed electrostatic potential maps (mesh surface) for rigid O-NDI rod **1** (B) and solid surfaces for the model NDI **4** (C) compared to the complementary model pyrene **5** (D); red: electron-rich, blue: electron-poor.

Scheme 1^a



^a Conditions: (a) *N,N*-Dimethylacetamide, 135 °C, 12 h, 90%; (b) (1) H₂O, pH 6.4, reflux; (2) AcOH; 88%; (c) *N,N*-dimethylacetamide, 135 °C, 12 h, 57%; (d) TFA, 50 °C, 2 h, 61%; (e) Boc-Gly-OH, HBTU, TEA, DMF/DMSO 1:1, rt, 2 h, 54%; (f) 2% TFA, CH₂Cl₂, rt, 50 min, 64% **2**, 30% **3** (conversion yield).

entation, the overall quite poor activities of rigid O-NDI rods in the HPTS assay were best with one charged and one uncharged terminus and worst with two charged termini (**2** > **1** > **3**). Replacement of the extravascular NaCl with isoosmolar KCl, RbCl, and CsCl did not much change the apparent activity of rigid O-NDI rod **1** (Figure 2A). The changes provoked by external anion exchange were clearly stronger (Figure 2B). Sensitivity to external anion and insensitivity to external cation exchange indicated that rigid O-NDI rod **1** operates by $\text{OH}^-/\text{A}^{n-}$ rather than H^+/M^{n+} exchange, that is, anion selectivity. Recent direct comparison suggested that relative activities obtained by external ion exchange in HPTS-loaded vesicles may relate directly to permeability ratios from Goldman-Hodgkin-Katz analysis of planar bilayer conductance experiments.¹⁵




Figure 2. Anion/cation selectivity (A, B), anion selectivity topology (C), and mole fraction behavior (D) of rigid O-NDI rods **1** (A–D, ●■) and **2** (C, ○), with rods being added either after (A–D, ●○) or before the base pulse (C, ■). (A, B) Fractional HPTS emission Y ($\lambda_{\text{ex}} = 450$ nm, $\lambda_{\text{em}} = 510$ nm) as a function of time during addition of base ($\Delta\text{pH} = 0.9$) followed by **1** ($1.5 \mu\text{M}$) and excess gramicidin A (gA, for calibration only) to EYPC-LUVs \supset HPTS (10 mM HEPES, pH 7.0, 100 mM MX, A: X = Cl, M as indicated; B: M = Na, X as indicated). The baseline (same without **1**) was subtracted after calibration. (C, D) Fractional HPTS emission Y 200 s after beginning of an experiment as a function of the reciprocal anion radius (C) or the mole fraction x (D, expected: dashed line, found: solid line).

The halide VII sequence ($\text{F}^- > \text{Cl}^- > \text{Br}^- > \text{I}^-$) revealed in the selectivity topology of rigid O-NDI rod **1** is very unusual (Figure 2C, ●). Opposite to the common Hofmeister series (halide I), full compensation of the cost of dehydration by binding to the anion– π slide implied the existence of remarkably powerful anion– π interactions.¹ However, we observed that transmembrane $\text{F}^- \rightarrow \text{Cl}^-$ gradients applied by external $\text{Cl}^- \rightarrow \text{F}^-$ exchange caused a dramatic decrease of internal pH. Identical observations with external AcO^- and, less pronounced, SCN^- suggested the occurrence of passive AH influx with weak acids under these conditions. This implied that an unusually large effective pH gradient (rather than the ion selectivity of rigid O-NDI rod **1**) may at least, in part, account for the high activity found with external F^- . Addition of rigid O-NDI rod **1** to remove the HF-related pH gradient before application of the external base pulse caused indeed the expected drop from halide VII to halide VI selectivity ($\text{Cl}^- > \text{F}^- > \text{Br}^- > \text{I}^-$) (Figure 2C, ■). The magnitude of anion selectivity of rigid O-NDI rod **2** was reduced despite (and presumably because of) the presence of an ammonium cation at one terminus. The selectivity shifted from halide VII to a weaker halide V ($\text{Cl}^- > \text{Br}^- > \text{F}^- > \text{I}^-$) for rod addition after base pulse (Figure 2C, ○). These trends suggested that increasing proximity between transmembrane O-NDI rods could cause increasing selectivity but decreasing activity.

The existence of the multiple binding sites expected for a π slide was supported by a remarkably strong AMFE (Figure 2D). According to this classical test,² the underadditivity found for Cl^-/I^- mixtures suggested that occupation of one single site with the better binding Cl^- is insufficient for fast Cl^- transport. Occupation of multiple sites along the π slide is thus required for the high activity found with pure Cl^- . The classical biological

answer to the dilemma of how to be fast and selective,^{2,16} AMFE thus supported multi- Cl^- hopping along the π -acidic NDI modules of rigid rod **1** and disfavored the Gly-Boc termini as origin of activity and selectivity.

The rare halide VI sequence of neutral O-NDI rods, together with reduced selectivity and halide sequence but increased activity with one cationic rod terminus, were all in agreement with operational dynamic anion– π interactions; the AMFE confirmed the existence of multiple anion– π sites for transmembrane anion hopping, that is, anion– π slide **1** (Figure 1). However, these surprisingly consistent results should not distract from the fact that further studies are necessary to gain corroborative insights on the here introduced novel and complex system.

Acknowledgment. We thank M. Julliard for contributions to synthesis, D. Jeannerat, A. Pinto, and S. Grass for NMR measurements, P. Perrotet and the group of F. Gülaçar for MS measurements, and the Swiss NSF for financial support.

Supporting Information Available: Experimental details and complete ref 12a. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at <http://pubs.acs.org>.

References

- (1) (a) Wright, E. M.; Diamond, J. M. *Physiol. Rev.* **1977**, *57*, 109–156. (b) Qu, Z.; Hartzell, H. C. *J. Gen. Physiol.* **2000**, *116*, 825–884.
- (2) (a) Hille, B.; Schwarz, W. J. *Gen. Physiol.* **1978**, *72*, 409–442. (b) Tabcharani, J. A.; Rommens, J. M.; Hou, Y.-X.; Chang, X.-B.; Tsui, L.-C.; Riordan, J. R.; Hanrahan, J. W. *Nature* **1993**, *366*, 79–82. (c) Miller, C. *J. Gen. Physiol.* **1999**, *113*, 783–787.
- (3) Kumpf, R. A.; Dougherty, D. A. *Science* **1993**, *261*, 1708–1710.
- (4) Tedesco, M. M.; Ghebremariam, B.; Sakai, N.; Matile, S. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **1999**, *38*, 540–543.
- (5) Eisenman, G.; Horn, R. *J. Membr. Biol.* **1983**, *76*, 197–225.
- (6) (a) Miller, L. L.; Zinger, B.; Schlechte, J. S. *Chem. Mater.* **1999**, *11*, 2313–2315. (b) Choi, D.-S.; Chong, Y. S.; Whitehead, D.; Shimizu, K. D. *Org. Lett.* **2001**, *3*, 3757–3760.
- (7) Selected reviews on synthetic ion channels and pores: (a) Scrimin, P.; Tecilla, P. *Curr. Opin. Chem. Biol.* **1999**, *3*, 730–735. (b) Gokel, G. W.; Mukhopadhyay, A. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2001**, *30*, 274–286. (c) Koert, U.; Al-Momani, L.; Pfeifer, J. R. *Synthesis* **2004**, *8*, 1129–1146. (d) Mitchell, K. D. D.; Fyles, T. M. In *Encyclopedia of Supramolecular Chemistry*; Atwood, J. L., Steed, J. W., Eds.; Marcel Dekker: New York, 2004; pp 742–746. (e) Matile, S.; Som, A.; Sordé, N. *Tetrahedron* **2004**, *60*, 6405–6435. (f) Sisson, A. L.; Shah, M. R.; Bhosale, S.; Matile, S. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2006** (DOI: 10.1039/b512423a).
- (8) (a) Davis, A. P.; Sheppard, D. N.; Smith, B. D. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2006**, in press. (b) Gale, P. A. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2006**, *39*, 465–475. (c) Santacroce, P. V.; Okunola, O. A.; Zavalij, P. Y.; Davis, J. T. *Chem. Commun.* **2006**, *41*, 3246–3248. (d) Broughman, J. R.; Shank, L. P.; Takeguchi, W.; Schultz, B. D.; Iwamoto, T.; Mitchell, K. E.; Tomich, J. M. *Biochemistry* **2002**, *41*, 7350–7358. (e) Deng, G.; Dewa, T.; Regen, S. L. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1996**, *118*, 8975–8976.
- (9) Garau, C.; Quiñero, D.; Frontera, A.; Ballester, P.; Costa, A.; Deyà, P. M. *J. Phys. Chem. A* **2005**, *109*, 9341–9345.
- (10) Fairchild, R. M.; Holman, K. T. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2005**, *127*, 16364–16365.
- (11) (a) Lee, J.; Guelev, V.; Sorey, S.; Hoffman, D. W.; Iverson, B. L. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2004**, *126*, 14036–14042. (b) Vignon, S. A.; Jarrosson, T.; Iijima, T.; Tseng, H. R.; Sanders, J. K. M.; Stoddart, J. F. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2004**, *126*, 9884–9885. (c) Bhosale, S.; Sisson, A. L.; Talukdar, P.; Fürstenberg, A.; Banerji, N.; Vauthey, E.; Bollot, G.; Mareda, J.; Röger, C.; Würthner, F.; Sakai, N.; Matile, S. *Science* **2006**, *313*, 84–86.
- (12) (a) Frisch, M. J.; et al. *Gaussian 03*, revision C.02; Gaussian, Inc.: Wallingford, CT, 2004. (b) Perdew, J. P.; Burke, K.; Ernzerhof, M. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **1996**, *77*, 3865–3868. (c) Scuseria, G. E.; Ernzerhof, M. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1999**, *110*, 5029–5036.
- (13) Hernandez-Trujillo, J.; Vela, A. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1996**, *100*, 6524–6530.
- (14) Sakai, N.; Mareda, J.; Matile, S. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2005**, *38*, 79–87.
- (15) Sakai, N.; Houdebert, D.; Matile, S. *Chem.–Eur. J.* **2003**, *9*, 223–232.
- (16) Dutzler, R. *FEBS Lett.* **2004**, *564*, 229–233.

JA0665747